

The Gazette of India

EXTRAORDINARY

PART II—Section 3

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

No. 474] NEW DELHI, WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 9, 1957/ASVINA 17, 1879

ELECTION COMMISSION, INDIA

NOTIFICATION

New Delhi, the 9th October 1957

IN THE COURT OF ELECTION TRIBUNAL AT JAIPUR.

RE : ELECTION PETITION No. 473 of 1957

(Bikaner Parliamentary Constituency of Rajasthan.)

Sri Roop Chand, Sri Chumilal—*Petitioners.*

Versus

Sri Pannalal and others—*Respondents.*

S.R.O. 3271.—Whereas an application for leave to withdraw the election petition No. 473 of 1957, Sri Roop Chand and Sri Chumilal *Versus* Sri Pannalal and others pending before this Tribunal has been presented by the petitioners above named under sub-section (1) of section 109 of the Representation of the People Act, 1951;

Now, therefore, the Election Tribunal, Jaipur in pursuance of the provisions of sub-section (2) of section 109 of the said Act hereby causes a notice along with a copy of the said application for leave to withdraw the Election Petition to be published in the Official Gazette fixing the 19th October, 1957 for hearing of the said application before this Tribunal at 10-30 A.M. on the date fixed or as soon as thereafter as the business of the Tribunal will permit

THE ELECTION TRIBUNAL, GANGANAGAR,

ELECTION PETITION No. 473 OF 1957

1. Roop Chand son of Suganchandji.
2. Chunilal son of Badri Ramji—*Petitioners.*

Versus

- | | | |
|--|---|---------------------|
| <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Shri Pannalal.2. Shri Karni Singhji.3. Shri Kedar Nath.4. Shri Punam Chand.5. Shri Hari Ram.6. Shri Udaram.7. Shri Hukma Ram. | } | <i>Respondents.</i> |
|--|---|---------------------|

The petitioners submit as follows:—

1. That the petitioners are unable to obtain any particulars of the allegations in the above cited petition against the respondents Shri Pannalal, Shri Karni Singhji and Shri Punam Chandji and are not able to substantiate them.

2. That the petitioners are unwilling to proceed with the petition as the petitioners are unable to spend any money and are involved in their own domestic worries and find that it will not be fruitful to proceed with the petition.

3. That the petitioners have no evidence in support of their petition for setting aside the Election.

4. That the petitioners pray that the petition be dismissed.

(Sd.) ROOP CHAND, (in Hindi)

(Sd.) CHUNILAL PANDIA, *Petitioners*

BIKANER ;

The 6th July, 1957.

(Sd.) KARTAR SINGH CAMPBELLPURI,
Member.

Election Tribunal, Jaipur.

[No. 82/473/57/12942.]

By Order,

DIN DAYAL,

For Chief Election Commissioner.